Research is what I'm doing when I don't know what I'm doing. Wernher Von Braun.



Varieties of Stochastic Calculi

Luca Cardelli

Microsoft Research

The Microsoft Research - University of Trento Centre for Computational and Systems Biology

Trento, 2006-05-22..26

www.luca.demon.co.uk/ArtificialBiochemistry.htm

Continuous Time Markov Chains

A continuous-time Markov chain (CTMC) is a pair M=(S,R) where S is a countable set of states, and R:S×S→**Real**_{≥0} is the rate matrix⁽¹⁾.

The exit rate $E(s) = \Sigma(s' \in S) R(s,s')$ denotes that the probability of taking a transition from s within t time units equals $1-e^{-E(s)t}$. (The time between state changes is a random variable with a memoryless distribution.)

The embedded discrete-time Markov chain. (N.B. when we do this, we loose information on holding time in each state) The probability P(s,s') of moving from s to s' in a single step is:

if E(s)>0 then P(s,s')=R(s,s')/E(s)

if E(s)=0 then P(s,s)=1 and P(s,s')=0 for $s\neq s'$.

P(s,s') equals the probability that the delay of going from s to s' "finishes before" the delay of any other outgoing transition from s.

(1) The more standard *generator matrix* has -E(s) on the diagonal, which requires forbidding self-loops.

| R | s1 | s2 | s3 | s4 |
|------------|----|----|----|----|
| s1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| s2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| s 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| s4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| If R(s,s')>0 for more than one state |
|---|
| s', then there is a <i>race</i> between the |
| outgoing transitions of s. |

E(s1) = 6 E(s2) = 9 E(s3) = 1 E(s4) = 0

| | s4 | s 3 | s2 | s1 | Ρ |
|-------|-----|------------|-----|----|------------|
| s1 1/ | 0 | 2/3 | 1/3 | 0 | s1 |
| = 🔍 | 2/3 | 0 | 1/3 | 0 | s2 |
| 2/ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | s 3 |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | s4 |



Model checking continuous-time Markov chains by transient analysis

> Christel Baier^a, Boudewijn Haverkort^b, Holger Hermanns^c and Joost-Pieter Katoen^{c,*}

CTMC Modeling Formalism

• CTMCs

- Finite State Machines with rates on transitions.
- All durations are exponentially distributed (memoryless)
- Well studied class of stochastic processes
- Efficient analysis algorithms for stationary and transient analysis

• We consider only:

- Modeling formalisms that map to CTMC's
- But there are still quite a few of those:
- High level formalisms mapping to CTMCs
 - Stochastic Petri Nets [Molloy]
 - Markovian Queuing Networks [Muppala & Triverdi]
 - Stochastic Automata Networks [Plateau]
 - Probabilistic I/O Automata [Wu et al.]
 - Stochastic Process Algebras [Herzog et al.] [Hillston]



Stochastic Calculi

| PEP | Ά | Labeled Transition Semantics |
|--|--|---|
| $P ::= (\alpha, r).P \ \ P + Q \ \ P \bowtie_L Q \ \ P/L \ \ A$ | A Compositional Approach to Per http://www.dcs.ed.ac.uk/pepa/ Jane Hillston | formance Modelling book.pdf |
| Definition 3.3.1 The apparent rate of action of type α in a component P, denoted $r_{\alpha}(P)$ is the sum of the rates of all activities of type α in $Act(P)$. | Prefix $\overline{(\alpha,r).E \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E}$ | |
| 1. $r_{\alpha}((\beta, r).P) = \begin{cases} r & y \ \beta = \alpha \\ 0 & if \ \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$ 2. $r_{\alpha}(P+Q) = r_{\alpha}(P) + r_{\alpha}(Q)$ 3. $r_{\alpha}(P/L) = \begin{cases} r_{\alpha}(P) & if \ \alpha \notin L \\ 0 & if \ \alpha \in L \end{cases}$ | Choice $\frac{E \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E'}{E + F \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E'}$ | $\frac{F \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} F'}{E + F \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} F'}$ |
| 4. $r_{\alpha}(P \bowtie_{L} Q) = \begin{cases} \min(r_{\alpha}(P), r_{\alpha}(Q)) & \text{if } \alpha \in L \\ r_{\alpha}(P) + r_{\alpha}(Q) & \text{if } \alpha \notin L \end{cases}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Cooperation} \\ \\ \hline E \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E' \\ \hline E \swarrow F \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E' \Join F \end{array} (\alpha \notin L) \\ \hline E \swarrow L F \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E' \Join F \end{array}$ | $ \begin{array}{c} F \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} F' \\ F \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E \bowtie_{L} F' \end{array} (\alpha \notin L) \end{array} $ |
| | $\frac{E \xrightarrow{(\alpha, r_1)} E' F \xrightarrow{(\alpha, r_2)} F'}{E \bigotimes_L F \xrightarrow{(\alpha, R)} E' \bigotimes_L F'} (\alpha \in L) \text{where } R =$ | $\frac{r_1}{r_\alpha(E)} \frac{r_2}{r_\alpha(F)} \min(r_\alpha(E), r_\alpha(F))$ |
| | Hiding $\frac{E \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E'}{E/L \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E'/L} (\alpha \notin L) \xrightarrow{E/L} E'$ | $\frac{E \xrightarrow{(\alpha, r)} E'}{/L \xrightarrow{(\tau, r)} E'/L} (\alpha \in L)$ |
| | Constant $\frac{E \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E'}{A \xrightarrow{(\alpha,r)} E'} (A \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} E)$ | |

(ordinary) π -calculus

Reduction Semantics (with structural congruence)

Syntax

Chemical

Reactions

Mixing

Syntax

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \pi & ::= & x(y) & \operatorname{receive} y \text{ along } x \\ & \overline{x} \langle y \rangle & \operatorname{send} y \text{ along } x \end{array}$

$$P ::= 0 | \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i P_i | [x = y] P | P_1 | P_2 | (\text{new } x)P | !P$$

Structural congruence

Renaming of bound variables

 $\begin{array}{rcl} x(y).P &=& x(z).(\{z/y\}\,P) & \text{ if } z \notin FN(P) \\ (\mathsf{new}\;y).P &=& (\mathsf{new}\;z).(\{z/y\}\,P) & \text{ if } z \notin FN(P) \end{array}$

Structural congruence laws

commutativity of parallel composition associativity of parallel composition commutativity of summation associativity of summation restriction of inert processes polyadic restriction scope extrusion replication

Reaction rules

$$(\dots + \overline{x}\langle z \rangle.Q) | (\dots + x(y).P) \to Q | P \{z/y\} \quad \text{communication (COMM)}$$

$$\frac{P \to P'}{P | Q \to P' | Q} \quad \text{reaction under parallel composition (PAR)}$$

$$\frac{P \to P'}{(\text{new } x)P \to (\text{new } x)P'} \quad \text{reaction under restriction (RES)}$$

$$\frac{Q \equiv P P \to P' P' \equiv Q'}{Q \to Q'} \quad \text{structural congruence (STRUCT)}$$

Stochastic π -calculus

Labeled Transition Semantics

- Stochastic extension of π -calculus.
 - Associate a single parameter r (rate) in (0, infinity] to each activity a.
 - The rate and the associated exponential distribution describes the stochastic behavior of the activity.

a.P is replaced by a@r.P

- Exponential distribution
 - Guarantees the memoryless property: the time at which a change of state occurs is independent of the time at which the last change of state occurred.
 - I.e. we do not have to remember past states transitions (e.g. in the implementation).
 - Conversely, if we do want to remember past transitions, we can do it by programming.
- Race resolution
 - Races are resolved in a probabilistic competitive way: all the activities that are enabled in a state compete and the fastest one (stochastically) succeeds. [Gillespie]

Stochastic π -Calculus

CORRADO PRIAMI Dipartimento di Informatica, Università di Pisa, Corso Italia, 40, I-56125 Pisa, Italy Email: priami@di.unipi.it

The Computer Journal, Vol. 38, No. 7, 1995



TABLE 2. Early proved transition system of $S\pi$.

The (Biochemical) $S\pi$

Labeled Transition Semantics

Application of a stochastic name-passing calculus to representation and simulation of molecular processes

Corrado Priami^{a,*}, Aviv Regev^{b,c}, Ehud Shapiro^{b,d}, William Silverman^b

Information Processing Letters 80 (2001) 25-31

This paper was motivated by the need to model "chemical" interaction laws and mixed choice. But it supports mixed choice, only over a distinguished set of channels with exactly 1 mixed choice per summation (homodimerization).

C. Priami et al. / Information Processing Letters 80 (2001) 25-31

Table 1

Reduction semantics of the biochemical stochastic π -calculus

$$\begin{split} \left(\dots + \langle \overline{x}\langle z \rangle, r \rangle. Q \right) & \left| \left(\langle x(y), r \rangle. P + \dots \right) \xrightarrow{x, r_b \cdot 1 \cdot 1} Q | P\{z/y\}, \quad x \notin \mathcal{H}, \\ \left(\dots + \langle \overline{x}\langle z \rangle, r \rangle. Q + \langle x(y), r \rangle. P \right) | \\ & \left(\langle \overline{x}\langle z \rangle, r \rangle. Q + \langle x(y), r \rangle. P + \dots \right) \xrightarrow{x, 1/2 \cdot r_b \cdot 2 \cdot (2-1)} Q | P\{z/y\}, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}, \end{split}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{x,r_b \cdot r_0 \cdot r_1} P'}{P|Q \xrightarrow{x,r_b \cdot r_0' \cdot r_1'} P'|Q}, \begin{cases} r_0' = r_0 + In_x(Q), \\ r_1' = r_1 + Out_x(Q), \end{cases}$$
$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{x,r_b \cdot r_0 \cdot r_1} P'}{(vx)P \xrightarrow{x,r_b \cdot r_0 \cdot r_1} (vx)P'} \qquad \frac{Q = P, P \xrightarrow{x,r_b \cdot r_0 \cdot r_1} P', P' = Q'}{Q \xrightarrow{x,r_b \cdot r_0 \cdot r_1} Q'}$$

 $In_{x}(\mathbf{0}) = 0,$ $In_{x}\left(\sum_{i \in I} (\pi_{i}, r_{i}) \cdot P_{i}\right)$ $= \left|\left\{(\pi_{i}, r_{i}) \mid i \in I \land sbj(\pi_{i}) = x\right\}\right|,$ $In_{x}(P_{1}|P_{2}) = In_{x}(P_{1}) + In_{x}(P_{2}),$ $In_{x}((v z)P) = \begin{cases}In_{x}(P) & \text{if } z \neq x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$ $Out_{x} \text{ is similarly defined, by replacing any occurrence}$ of In with Out and the condition $sbj(\pi_{i}) = x$ with $sbj(\pi_{i}) = \overline{x}.$

A usual reaction is implemented by the three parameters r_b , r_0 and r_1 , where r_b represents the basal rate, and r_0 and r_1 denote the quantities of interacting molecules, and are computed compositionally via In_x and Out_x while deducing transitions. The first axiom in Table 1 corresponds to usual reactions, with two different molecules. The second one corresponds to homodimerization reactions.

StoPi

Definition 2.1 (Process Expressions) The set of action prefixes π are defined by

| $\pi ::= x(\vec{y})$ | receive \vec{y} along x |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $\overline{x}\langle \vec{y} \rangle$ | send \vec{y} along x . |

where \vec{y} denotes a tuple (y_1, \ldots, y_n) of channel names called a channel vector. The set of process expressions in the StoPi-calculus is defined by the following syntax with the syntactic categories processes (P), guarded sums (M) and process declarations (D):

$$D ::= D; D \mid A(\vec{x}) \stackrel{def}{=} P$$

$$P ::= P|P \mid new (x_1 : r_1, \dots, x_n : r_n) P$$

$$\mid M \mid A(\vec{x}) \mid 0$$

$$M ::= \pi . P \mid M + M$$

where 0 is the nil process (or empty sum) which cannot do any transitions and $A(\vec{x})$ is an invocation of the process with identifier A with actual parameters \vec{x} .

Definition 2.2 (Structural Congruence)

Two processes P and Q in the StoPi-calculus are structurally congruent, written $P \equiv Q$, if we can transform one into the other by using the following equations (in either direction):

- P ≡ {x/y}P if y_i ∉ fn(P) for 1 ≤ i ≤ |y|. (α-conversion)
- 2. $M+N\equiv N+M$, $(M+N)+L\equiv M+(N+L)$

3.
$$P|0 \equiv P, P|Q \equiv Q|P, P|(Q|R) \equiv (P|Q)|R$$

4. new $\vec{x} 0 \equiv 0$, new $\vec{x} \vec{y} P \equiv$ new $\vec{y} \vec{x} P$ new $\vec{x} (P|Q) \equiv P|$ new $\vec{x} Q$ if $x_i \notin fn(P)$ for $1 \leq i \leq |\vec{x}|$,

5.
$$A(\vec{x}) \stackrel{def}{=} P \Rightarrow A(\vec{y}) \equiv \{\vec{y}/\vec{x}\}P$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} STRUCT \end{bmatrix} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{x} P'}{Q \xrightarrow{x} Q'} & \text{if } P \equiv Q \text{ and } P' \equiv Q' \\ \begin{bmatrix} PAR \end{bmatrix} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{x} P'}{P|Q \xrightarrow{x} P'|Q} \\ \begin{bmatrix} RES \end{bmatrix} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{x} P'}{(\text{new } y:r) P \xrightarrow{x} (\text{new } y:r) P'} & \text{if } x \neq y \\ \begin{bmatrix} STOCH \end{bmatrix} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{x} P'}{(\text{new } x:r) P \xrightarrow{r} (\text{new } x:r) P'} \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 1: Semantics of the StoPi-calculus.

Definition 3.1 (Process Normal Form)

For a process in the StoPi-calculus we define the normal form as

new
$$(y_1 : r_1, ..., y_n : r_n)(P_1 | \cdots | P_j)$$
 (3)

where each P_i is either $\sum_{l=0}^{n} \pi_k P_k$ or $A(\vec{x})$.



This is the most detailed analysis of a stochastic pi calculus (with mixed choice) yet. However, the apparent rate is defined on the underlying abstract machine after translation, not on the pi calculus itself.

Reduction Semantics (with structural congruence)

In the following let c = S be a configuration, Ch_c the channels contained in the environments in the configuration c and assume that s and s' are summations in S. Furthermore let

$$|s|_{ch} = |\{(x, \vec{y}, P) \in A \mid s = (A, e) \land e(x) = ch\}|$$

and

$$s|_{\overline{ch}} = \big|\{(\overline{x}, \vec{y}, P) \in A \mid s = (A, e) \land e(x) = ch\}\big|.$$

 $[REACT] \quad ((x(\vec{y}).P+M)|(\overline{x}\langle \vec{z}\rangle.Q+N)) \xrightarrow{x} (\{\vec{z}/\vec{y}\}P|Q) \text{ Then the apparent rate of a reaction on a channel in a configuration is defined as}$

Definition 3.4 (Apparent Rates)

The apparent rate of a reaction between guarded sums s and s' in a configuration c on channel $ch \in Ch_c$, where s receives input on ch and s' outputs on ch, is defined as:

$$r(s,s',ch) = \begin{cases} ch_r \cdot |s|_{ch} \cdot |s'|_{\overline{ch}} & \text{if } s \neq s' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The apparent rate of channel ch in a configuration c is the sum of the apparent rates of a reactions on ch on all possible guarded sums:

$$r_{ch}(c) = \sum_{s,s' \in S} r(s,s',ch).$$
 (7)

Note that the expression (7) can explicitly be calculated as

$$r_{ch}(c) = ch_r \left(\sum_{s \in S} |s|_{ch} \cdot \sum_{\substack{s' \in S \\ s \neq s'}} |s'|_{\overline{ch}} \right).$$
(8)

2006-05-26

| | SPiM | Reduction Semantics (with structural congruence) |
|---|---|---|
| $\begin{array}{ccccccc} P,Q::=&\nu xP & \text{Restriction} & (1) & \varSigma ::=& 0 & \text{Null} & (5) \\ & & P Q & \text{Parallel} & (2) & & \pi.P+\varSigma & \text{Action} & (6) \\ & & \varSigma & \text{Summation} & (3) & & \pi ::=& x\langle n\rangle & \text{Output} & (7) \\ & & !\pi.P & \text{Replication} & (4) & & & x(m) & \text{Input}, x \neq m & (8) \end{array}$ Definition 1. Syntax of SPi | Definition | $V \xrightarrow{r} V' \Rightarrow \nu x V \xrightarrow{r} \nu x V' $ (37) $\begin{vmatrix} x = Next(A) \\ \land A \succ (x(m).P + \Sigma) :: A' \\ \land A' \succ (x\langle n \rangle.Q + \Sigma') :: A'' \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow A \xrightarrow{rate(x)} P_{\{n/m\}} : Q : A'' $ (38) h 10. Reduction in SPiM |
| $Q \equiv P \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}} P' \equiv Q' \Rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}} Q' \qquad (9)$ $P \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}} P' \Rightarrow \nu x P \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}} \nu x P' \qquad (10)$ $P \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}} P' \Rightarrow P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}} P' \mid Q \qquad (11)$ $x \langle n \rangle . P + \Sigma \mid x(m) . Q + \Sigma' \xrightarrow{rate(\mathbf{x})} P \mid Q_{\{n/m\}} \qquad (12)$ | Σ Definition | $A@\Sigma::A' \succ \Sigma::A@A' $ (39) $::A \succ (\pi'.P' + \Sigma')::A \Rightarrow (\pi.P + \Sigma)::A \succ (\pi'.P' + \pi.P + \Sigma')::A $ (40) a 11. Selection in SPiM |
| Definition 2. Reduction in SPi $P \mid 0 \equiv P$ (13) $\Sigma \equiv \Sigma' \Rightarrow \pi.P + \Sigma \equiv \pi.P + \Sigma'$ (20) $P \mid Q \equiv Q \mid P$ (14) $x \notin fn(P) \Rightarrow \nu x (P \mid Q) \equiv P \mid \nu x Q$ (21) $P \mid (Q \mid R) \equiv (P \mid Q) \mid R$ (15) $P \equiv P' \Rightarrow \nu x P \equiv \nu x P'$ $!\pi.P \equiv \pi.(P \mid !\pi.P)$ (16) $P \equiv P' \Rightarrow P \mid Q \equiv P' \mid Q$ $\nu x 0 \equiv 0$ (17) $P \equiv P' \Rightarrow !\pi.P \equiv !\pi.P'$ $\nu x \nu y P \equiv \nu y \nu x P$ (18) $P \equiv P' \Rightarrow \pi.P + \Sigma \equiv \pi.P' + \Sigma$ (25) $\pi.P + \pi'.P' + \Sigma \equiv \pi'.P' + \pi.P + \Sigma$ (19)Definition 3. Structural congruence in SPi | Again, the apparent rate is defined on the underlying abstract machine. | Bio-CONCUR 2004 Preliminary Version A Correct Abstract Machine for the Stochastic Pi-calculus Andrew Phillips ¹ Luca Cardelli ² |
| $V, U ::= \nu x V \text{Restriction} (26) \qquad A, B ::= [] \text{Empty} (28) \\ + A \text{List} (27) \qquad + \Sigma :: A \text{Summation} (29) \end{cases}$ Definition 7. Syntax of SPiM $n \notin fn(P) \Rightarrow P : (\nu x V) \triangleq \nu x (P : V) \qquad (30) \\ 0 : A \triangleq A \qquad (31) \\ (P \mid Q) : A \triangleq P : Q : A \qquad (32) \\ x \notin fn(P, A) \Rightarrow (\nu y P) : A \triangleq \nu x (P_{\{x/y\}} : A) \qquad (33) \\ + \pi . P : A \triangleq \pi . (P \mid !\pi . P) :: A \qquad (34) \\ (\pi . P + \Sigma) : A \triangleq (\pi . P + \Sigma) :: A \qquad (35) \end{cases}$ Definition 8. Construction in SPiM $[P] \triangleq P : [] \qquad (36)$ | | The next reaction channel x and the reaction delay τ are calculated using the algorithm described in Definition 12. The algorithm is based on the Gillespie algorithm [6], which uses a notion of channel activity in order to stochastically select the next reaction channel. A similar notion of channel activity is defined for the SPi-Machine, where $\operatorname{Act}_x(A)$ denotes the activity of channel x in list A. The activity corresponds to the number of possible combinations of inputs and outputs on channel x in A, and is defined by: $\operatorname{Act}_x(A) = (\operatorname{In}_x(A) * \operatorname{Out}_x(A)) - \operatorname{Mix}_x(A)$ where $\operatorname{In}_x(A)$ and $\operatorname{Out}_x(A)$ are the number of unguarded <i>inputs</i> and <i>outputs</i> on channel x in A, respectively, and $\operatorname{Mix}_x(A) = \operatorname{the sum of In}_x(\Sigma_i) \times \operatorname{Out}_x(\Sigma_i)$ for each summation Σ_i in A. The formula takes into account the fact that an input and an output in the same summation cannot interact, by subtracting $\operatorname{Mix}_x(A)$ from the product of the number of inputs and outputs on x. Once the values x and τ have been calculated, the machine increments the reaction time by delay τ and randomly chooses one of the available reactions on x with equal probability, using the selection operator. This is achieved by randomly choosing a number $n \in [1In_x(A)]$ and selecting the nth input in A, followed by randomly selecting an output from the remaining list in a similar fashion. |
| Definition 9. Encoding SPi to SPiM | | 2006-05-26 10 |

$S\pi$ - A modern version

Labeled Transition Semantics

| P, Q ::= | $\nu x P$ | Restriction | E := J | K(m) = P $F_{+} = F_{-}$ | Definition, $fn(P) \subseteq m$ |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | r Q | ratallel | 1 | L_1, L_2 | o mon |
| | M | Choice | | Ø | Empty |
| | X(n) | Instance | | | |
| | | | $\pi ::=$ | 2x(m) | Input |
| $M ::= \pi$ | P + M | Action | | x(n) | Output |
| 1 | 0 | Null | | τ_r | Delay |

 $R(x,P) = rate(x) \times (\mathrm{In}_x(P) \times \mathrm{Out}_x(P) - \mathrm{Mix}_x(P))$

A Graphical Representation for Biological Processes in the Stochastic pi-calculus

Andrew Phillips¹, Luca Cardelli¹, and Giuseppe Castagna²

 $!x(n).P + M \stackrel{!x(n)}{\longrightarrow} P$ (1) $?x(m).P + M \xrightarrow{?x(n)} P_{\{n/m\}}$ (2) $\tau_r.P + M \xrightarrow{r} P$ (3) $P \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i} \mathbf{x}(n)} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{? \mathbf{x}(n)} Q' \quad \Rightarrow \quad P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} P' \mid Q'$ (4) $n\notin \mathrm{fn}(Q) \quad P \stackrel{!x(\nu n)}{\longrightarrow} P' \quad Q \stackrel{?x(n)}{\longrightarrow} Q' \quad \Rightarrow \quad P \mid Q \stackrel{x}{\longrightarrow} \nu n \left(P' \mid Q'\right)$ (5) $P \xrightarrow{x} P' \Rightarrow \nu x P \xrightarrow{R(x,P)} \nu x P'$ (6) $x \neq y \quad P \xrightarrow{!x(y)} P' \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu y P \xrightarrow{!x(\nu y)} P'$ (7) $x\notin \mathrm{fn}(\alpha)\cup\mathrm{bn}(\alpha)\quad P\xrightarrow{\alpha}P'\quad\Rightarrow\quad \nu x\,P\xrightarrow{\alpha}\nu x\,P'$ (8) $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \Rightarrow \pi . P + M \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ (9) $\operatorname{bn}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{fn}(Q) = \emptyset \quad P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad \Rightarrow \quad P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \mid Q$ (10) $X(m) = P \quad P_{\{n/m\}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad \Rightarrow \quad X(n) \quad \xrightarrow{\alpha} \quad P'$ (11)

$S\pi$ - My favorite version

Reduction Semantics (with structural congruence)

[A.Phillips]

$$\tau_r \cdot P + M \quad \stackrel{r}{\longrightarrow} \quad P \tag{126}$$

$$|x(n).P + M| ?x(m).Q + N \longrightarrow P | Q_{\{n/m\}}$$

$$(127)$$

$$P \xrightarrow{x} P' \longrightarrow um P \xrightarrow{R(x,P)} um P'$$

$$(128)$$

$$P \longrightarrow P \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu x P \quad \longrightarrow \quad \nu x P \tag{128}$$

$$\theta \neq x \quad P \xrightarrow{\theta} P' \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu x P \quad \xrightarrow{\theta} \quad \nu x P' \tag{129}$$

$$P \xrightarrow{\theta} P' \Rightarrow P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\theta} P' \mid Q$$
 (130)

$$Q \equiv P \xrightarrow{\theta} P' \equiv Q' \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q \quad \xrightarrow{\theta} \quad Q' \tag{131}$$

Reduction in $S\pi$, where $\theta ::= x | r$ and R(x, P) is the apparent rate of x in P.

| P,Q ::= | $\nu x P$ | Restriction | E := | - | X(m) = P | Definition, $fn(P)$ | m |
|----------------|-------------------------|--|----------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | $P \mid Q$ | Parallel | | | E_{1}, E_{2} | Union | |
| | M | Choice | | | Ø | Empty | |
| | X(n) | Instance | | | | | |
| | | | π ::= | _ | 2x(m) | Input | |
| M ::= | $\pi.P + M$ | Action | | | !x(n) | Output | |
| | 0 | Null | | | τ_r | Delay | |
| Definition 1.1 | . Syntax of S | π | | | | | |
| | | $P \mid 0$ | = | P | | | (102) |
| | | $P \mid Q$ | = | Q | P | | (103) |
| | | $P \mid (Q \mid R)$ | = | (P | Q) R | | (104) |
| | | $\pi_1.P_1 + \pi_2.P_2 + M$ | = | π_2 | $P_2 + \pi_1 P_1 +$ | M | (105) |
| | | $\nu x 0$ | = | 0 | | | (106) |
| | | $\nu x \nu y P$ | \equiv | νy | $\nu x P$ | | (107) |
| | $x \notin \text{fn}(x)$ | $P) \Rightarrow \nu x \left(P \mid Q \right)$ | = | P | $\nu x Q$ | | (108) |
| | X(| $(m) \triangleq P \Rightarrow X(n)$ | ≡ | $P_{\{i\}}$ | n/m } | | (109) |
| Definition 1.2 | . Structural (| Congruence in $S\pi$ | | | | | |

| $\operatorname{In}_x(\nu x P)$ | ≜ | 0 | (110) | | |
|--|-----|---|-------|--|--|
| $x \neq y \Rightarrow \operatorname{In}_x(\nu y P)$ | ≜ | $\operatorname{In}_x(P)$ | (111) | | |
| $\operatorname{In}_x(P \mid Q)$ | ≙ | $\operatorname{In}_x(P) + \operatorname{In}_x(Q)$ | (112) | | |
| $X(m) = P \Rightarrow \operatorname{In}_x(X(n))$ | ≙ | $\operatorname{In}_x(P_{\{n/m\}})$ | (113) | | |
| $\ln_x(\pi . P + M)$ | ≙ | $\operatorname{In}_x(\pi) + \operatorname{In}_x(M)$ | (114) | | |
| ${ m In}_x(0)$ | ≙ | 0 | (115) | | |
| | | | | | |
| $\operatorname{In}_x(?x(m))$ | ≙ | 1 | (116) | | |
| $x \neq y \Rightarrow \ln_x(?y(m))$ | ≜ | 0 | (117) | | |
| $\operatorname{In}_x(!y(n))$ | ≙ | 0 | (118) | | |
| $\mathrm{In}_x(au_r)$ | ≙ | 0 | (119) | | |
| | | | | | |
| $\operatorname{Mix}_x(\nu x P)$ | ≙ | 0 | (120) | | |
| $x \neq y \Rightarrow \operatorname{Mix}_x(\nu y P)$ | ≙ | $\operatorname{Mix}_{x}(P)$ | (121) | | |
| $\operatorname{Mix}_x(P \mid Q)$ | ≙ | $\operatorname{Mix}_x(P) + \operatorname{Mix}_x(Q)$ | (122) | | |
| $X(m) = P \Rightarrow \operatorname{Mix}_x(X(n))$ | ≙ | $\operatorname{Mix}_{x}(P_{\{n/m\}})$ | (123) | | |
| $Mix_x(M)$ | ≙ | $\operatorname{In}_x(M) \times \operatorname{Out}_x(M)$ | (124) | | |
| $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}, \mathcal{D})$ where $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}, \mathcal{D})$ | ••• | $(D) M_{int}(D) $ | (195) | | |
| $\kappa(x, F) = rate(x) \times (\operatorname{In}_{x}(F) \times \operatorname{Out}_{x}(F) - \operatorname{Mix}_{x}(F)) $ (125) | | | | | |
| Definition 1.3. Apparent Rate in $S\pi$. The definition of $Out_x(P)$ is similar to that of $In_x(P)$. | | | | | |

Proposition 1.4. $\forall P.P \in S\pi \land P \equiv Q \Rightarrow R(x, P) = R(x, Q)$

BioAmbients

• An extension of Sto- π -calculus

- Dynamic membranes: operations for merging, splitting, interacting through membrane channels.
- Implemented by Aviv Regev.
- An adaptation of Ambient Calculus
 - A process language for dynamic containers (mobile agents, distributed locations, etc.)

BioAmbients: An abstraction for biological compartments

Aviv Regev^{a,*} Ekaterina M. Panina^b William Silverman^c Luca Cardelli^d Ehud Shapiro^c



Processes can communicate across membranes

Membranes are processes; they can move in and out of other membranes

S π to CTMCs

- Theorem [Hillston, Priami]
 - Let P be a *finite control* $S\pi$ process. Then the set of derivative processes from P defines a finite CTMC.

Gillespie

- 1. For all $x \in fn(A)$ calculate $a_x = Act_x(A) * rate(x)$
- 2. Store non-zero values of a_x in a list (x_μ, a_μ) , where $\mu \in 1...M$.
- 3. Calculate $a_0 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{M} a_{\nu}$
- 4. Generate two random numbers $n_1, n_2 \in [0, 1]$ and calculate τ, μ such that:

$$\tau = (1/a_0) \ln(1/n_1)$$

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu-1} a_{\nu} < n_2 a_0 \le \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} a_{\nu}$$

5. $Next(A) = x_{\mu}$ and $Delay(A) = \tau$.

Definition 12. Calculating Next(A) and Delay(A) according to Gillespie [6].



One State of the Simulation

(François and Hakim example)



Summary

- Stochastic process calculi:
 - The modular representation of concurrent stochastic processes.
 - Cut down to CTMCs (Continuous Time Markov Chains). Then, standard analysis techniques are applicable.
 - Can be given friendly automata-like scalable graphical syntax [A.Phillips].
 - Is directly executable (via Gillespie).
 - Are analyzable [Hillston] [Hermanns].







Figure 3. Protein A molecules v.s. time in presence (left) and absence (right) of TF

A.Phillips, L.Cardelli. BioConcur'04.

