

Mobile Ambients

Luca Cardelli Andrew D. Gordon

Talk

Status Report

- Goal of the week:
 - ~ Settle on a set of ambient primitives.
 - ~ Study their practical and theoretical expressive power.
- Esthetics for the week:
 - ~ Small size. Theoretical power. Cute examples.
 - ~ "It's so advanced, it's simple".

• Outcome:

- ~ A paper draft, a bunch of examples, a few (almost-) theorems.
- Surprise: the primitives invented for mobility ended up being meaningful for cryptography. The combination of mobility and cryptography in the same formal framework seems novel and intriguing.
- E.g.: we have a simple example of an agent authenticating itself with a firewall, obtaining a pass (securely), and then "physically" crossing the firewall.

Ambient Dynamics



Comments

- We can look at ambients as **active folders**; each folder has a name on its tab, and can contain other folders. Each folder can also contain a whole bunch of concurrent **gremlins** that tell the folder what do and where to go. Each horizontal script line in a folder represent one (or more) gremlins.
- A folder with dynamic content can send out gremlins to find information, represented by other folders, and persuade those folders to follow the gremlins to their home folder.
- The *open* operation throws away a folder and spills its content into the current folder (where *open n*.*P* lives). It requires a capability *open n*, that must have been given out by folder *n*.
- The ! operation is a copy machine: if *P* is a folder, !*P* can make as many copies of *P* as desired.
- All transitions block when they cannot fire.
- The *P* transition never blocks: it is a very idealized copy machine that never breaks and never runs out of paper. However, copying takes computation, so we can imagine that the operation is blocked until a new copy of *P* has been produced.

The set of operations on this slide (including folder creation) is Turing-complete.

Ambient I/O



A Post-it can hold a *capability*:



Ambient Expressions

P ::=	an activity		
(vn) P	new name in a scope		
0	inactivity		standard in
$P \mid P$	parallel		process calculi
!P	replication		
n[P]	ambient)	ambient-specific
С. Р	exercise of a capability		unionente opeente
(<i>n</i>). <i>P</i>	input from ether, bind to <i>n</i>		ambient I/O
$\langle C \rangle$	output to ether (async)	J	
<i>C</i> ::=	a capability		
in n	entry capability		
out n	exit capability		basic capabilities
open n	open capability	J	
п	name or input variable		useful with I/O
<i>C. C′</i>	path		

Ambients as Mobile Processes

- tourist \triangleq (x). joe[x. Enjoy]
- ticket-desk $\triangleq \langle in AF81atSFO. out AF81atCDG \rangle$

Ambients as Locks

- release n and do $Q \triangleq n[] \mid Q$
- acquire n then do $P \triangleq open n. P$

Ambients as Firewalls

- *n*[*P*] is a firewall called *n* protecting *P*.
- *in n* is the capability needed to enter the firewall.
- *out n* is the capability needed to exit the firewall.
- The *context* is the Internet.

• The <u>Perfect-Firewall Equation</u>:

 $(vn) n[P] \approx 0$ (if *n* not in *P*)

Ambients as Ciphertext

- $k[\langle txt \rangle]$ is the plaintext txt encrypted with key k.
- *open k* is the capability needed to open a *k*-envelope,
 i.e. to decrypt for *k* (without knowing *k*).
- *in k* is the capability needed to put stuff in a *k*-envelope, i.e. to encrypt for *k* (without knowing *k*).
- The *context* is the attacker.

 $P \approx Q$ == no attacker can tell P from Q

• The <u>Perfect-Cipher Equation</u>:

$(\mathbf{v}k_1) k_1[\langle txt_1 \rangle] \approx (\mathbf{v}k_2) k_2[\langle txt_2 \rangle]$

~ because $(vk_1) k_1[\langle txt_1 \rangle] \approx 0 \approx (vk_2) k_2[\langle txt_2 \rangle].$

Firewall Access

• (Very simplified.) Assume that the shared key *k* is already known to the firewall and the client.

Wally \triangleq (v w r) ((in r) | r[open k. in w] | w[open r. P])

Cleo \triangleq (x). k[x. C]

Cleo | Wally

- $= (v w r) ((x) k[x, C] | \langle in r \rangle | r[open k. in w] | w[open r. P])$
- = (v w r) (k[in r. C] | r[open k. in w] | w[open r. P])
- = (v w r) (r[k[C] | open k. in w] | w[open r. P])
- = (v w r) (r[C | in w] | w[open r. P])
- = (v w r) (w[r[C] | open r. P])
- $= (\mathbf{v} w) \quad (w[C \mid P])$

Comments

- Two secret names are introduced: *w* is the name of the firewall, and *r* is the name of a private room used as a customs checkpoint.
- We want to verify that Cleo knows the key *k*: this is done by *open k*. After that, we want to give Cleo a capability *in w* to enter the firewall. The communication of this capability must happen in a private place: we don't want some other process to snatch *in w* in transit. The private room *r* is used for this purpose.
- The room *r* has a secret name, and a single capability *in r* is made available for entering the room. Therefore we are sure that only one process enters *r* (we assume that Cleo is honest).

Turing Machine

```
end[extendLft \mid S_0 \mid
    square[S_1 \mid
       square[S_2 |
            . . .
           square[S_i \mid head \mid
               square[S_n \mid extendRht] .. ] .. ]]]
```