

Freedom vs Discipline.



"Polymorphism"

Schemas (Curry Hindley Milner)

Parameters (Reynolds, Russell, Rod)

types or algebras (implementation)

Inheritance (Simula, SmallTalk, Amber)

A semantics of Multiple Inheritance

Luca Cardelli

Part 1

Informal examples

Informal typing rules

Part 2

Formal semantics

Type inference systems

Typechecking algorithms

Syntax (Typed monomorphic λ -calculus + records and variants)

Part 2

$e ::=$

x |

b |

if e then e else e |

$(a_i = e_i)$ | $e.a$ |

$[a = e]$ | $e \underline{\text{is}} a$ | $e \underline{\text{as}} a$ |

$\lambda x : \tau . e$ | $e e$ |

rec $x : \tau . e$ |

$e : \tau$ |

(e)

expressions

identifiers

constants

conditionals

records

variants

functions

recursive data

type specs

$\tau ::=$

τ |

$(a_i : \tau_i)$ |

$[a_i : \tau_i]$ |

$\tau \rightarrow \tau$ |

(τ)

type expressions

type constants

record types

variant types

function types

Semantics of Multiple Inheritance

Expressions

$e ::= x \mid$

true | false | if e then e else e |

$a ::= \dots$

(labels)

$0 \mid succ \mid = \mid$

$(a_1 = e_1; \dots; a_n = e_n) \mid e.a \mid$

$\{a = e\} \mid e \text{ is } a \mid e \text{ as } a \mid$

$e \in \mid$

$\lambda x. e$

Semantic Domain

$$V \simeq \Pi + \mathbb{N} + (L \rightarrow V) + (L \times V) + (V \rightarrow V) + W$$

Π flat domain of booleans

\mathbb{N} flat domain of natural numbers

L flat domain of labels

$L \rightarrow V$ domain of records

$L \times V$ domain of vacants

$V \rightarrow V$ domain of functions

$W = \{w\}$ run-time error

Semantics of expressions

$$\mathcal{E}[\![x]\!]_P = P[\![x]\!]$$

wrong = v in V

$$v_i = \mathcal{E}[\![e_i]\!]_P$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![c]\!]_P = C[\![c]\!]$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![\text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3]\!]_P =$$

$$v \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow ((v, \mathbb{N}) = \text{true} \Rightarrow v_2 \mid v_3) \mid \text{wrong}$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![(a_1 = e_1, \dots, a_n = e_n)]\!]_P =$$

$$(\lambda a. a = a \Rightarrow v_1 \mid \dots \mid a = a_n \Rightarrow v_n \mid \text{wrong}) \text{ in } V$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![e.a]\!]_P = v \in (L \rightarrow V) \Rightarrow (v \upharpoonright L \rightarrow V)(a) \mid \text{wrong}$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![a = e]\!]_P = \langle a, v \rangle \text{ in } V$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![e \text{ is a}]\!]_P = v \in (L \times V) \Rightarrow (\text{left}(v \upharpoonright L \times V) = a) \text{ in } V \mid \text{wrong}$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![e \text{ as a}]\!]_P = v \in (L \times V) \Rightarrow ((\text{left}(v \upharpoonright L \times V) = a) \Rightarrow \text{right}(v \upharpoonright L \times V) \mid \perp_v) \mid \text{wrong}$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![e_1, e_2]\!]_P = v_2 \in W \Rightarrow \text{wrong} \mid v_1 \in (V \rightarrow V) \Rightarrow (v_1 \upharpoonright V \rightarrow V)(v_2) \mid \text{wrong}$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\![\lambda x. e]\!]_P = (\lambda x. \mathcal{E}[\![e]\!]_P[x/\lambda x. v]) \text{ in } V$$

Type Operators

$$D \rightarrow E = \{ f \in V \rightarrow V \mid f(D) \subseteq E \} \text{ in } V$$

$$(a : D) = \{ r \in L \rightarrow V \mid r(a) \in D \} \text{ in } V$$

$$[a : D] = \{ \langle u, v \rangle \in L \times V \mid v \in D \} \text{ in } V$$

$$(a_1 : D_1, \dots, a_n : D_n) = (a_1 : D_1) \cap \dots \cap (a_n : D_n)$$

$$[a_1 : D_1, \dots, a_n : D_n] = [a_1 : D_1] \cup \dots \cup [a_n : D_n]$$

$$() = (L \rightarrow V) \text{ in } V$$

$$[] = \{\} \text{ in } V$$

Examples:

$$\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \ni \{ 3 \mapsto 4, 5 \mapsto 6, v \mapsto \perp \text{ o.w.} \}$$

$$\ni \{ \text{true} \mapsto 4, \text{false} \mapsto 5, v \mapsto \perp \text{ o.w.} \}$$

$$\notin \{ 3 \mapsto \text{true}, v \mapsto \perp \text{ o.w.} \}$$

$$(a : \text{int}) \ni \{ a \mapsto 3, b \mapsto \perp \text{ o.w.} \}$$

$$\ni \{ a \mapsto 3, b \mapsto \text{false}, c \mapsto \perp \text{ o.w.} \}$$

$$\notin \{ a \mapsto \text{true}, b \mapsto \perp \text{ o.w.} \}$$

$$[a : \text{int}] \ni \langle a, 3 \rangle$$

$$\notin \langle b, 3 \rangle \quad (b \neq a)$$

$$\notin \langle a, \text{false} \rangle$$

Type Inference of Multiple Inheritance

10

Type Terms

- τ_i are type constants
- α, β are type variables
- σ, τ are type terms
- μ, ν are monotypes (type terms with no type vars)

- τ_i is a term
- α is a term
- $(\alpha_i : \sigma_i)$ is a term if σ_i are
- $[(\alpha_i : \sigma_i)]$ is a term if σ_i are
- $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ is a term if σ, τ are

Type Assignment

$v : \tau_i$ iff $v = \perp_v$ or $v \in B_i$

$v : (\alpha_i : \mu_i)$ iff $v = \perp_v$ or $v \in (L \rightarrow V)$ and $\forall i. (v \upharpoonright L \rightarrow V) \alpha_i : \mu_i$

$v : [(\alpha_i : \mu_i)]$ iff $v = \perp_v$ or $v \in (L \times V)$ and $\exists i. (v \upharpoonright L \times V) = \langle \alpha_i, u \rangle$ with $u : \mu_i$

$v : \mu \rightarrow \nu$ iff $v = \perp_v$ or $v \in (V \rightarrow V)$ and $\forall u. u : \mu \Rightarrow (v \upharpoonright V \rightarrow V) u : \nu$

$v : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ iff $\forall u : \mu \leq \sigma \rightarrow \tau. v : \mu \rightarrow \nu$

Prop $v : d \Leftrightarrow v \in d$

(relate type assignment to type operator semantics)

Type Inference

$$\vdash c_{ij} : \tau_i$$

$$A \vdash x : A(x)$$

$$\frac{A \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad A \vdash e_2 : \sigma \quad A \vdash e_3 : \sigma}{A \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3) : \sigma}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash e_1 : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \quad A \vdash e_2 : \sigma}{A \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau}$$

$$\frac{A[\sigma/x] \vdash e : \tau}{A \vdash (\lambda x. e) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash e_i : \sigma_i}{A \vdash (a_i = e_i) : \tau} \quad \tau \subseteq (a_i : e_i)$$

$$\frac{A \vdash e : (a_i : \sigma_i)}{A \vdash e.a : \tau} \quad a : \tau \in (a_i : \sigma_i)$$

$$\frac{A \vdash e : \sigma}{A \vdash [a = e] : [\alpha_i : \tau_i]} \quad a : \sigma \in [\alpha_i : \tau_i]$$

$$\frac{A \vdash e : [\alpha_i : \sigma_i]}{A \vdash e \text{ is } a : \text{bool}} \quad a : \tau \in [\alpha_i : \sigma_i]$$

$$\frac{A \vdash e : [\alpha_i : \sigma_i]}{A \vdash e \text{ as } a : \tau} \quad a : \tau \in [\alpha_i : \sigma_i]$$

Semantic soundness

If A agrees with p , then:

$$A \vdash e : \tau \Rightarrow \exists [e] p \in \tau$$

Nested Quantifiers

Type Terms

- τ_i are type constants
- α, β are type variables
- σ, τ are type terms
- μ, ν are closed type terms

τ_i	are terms	$FV(\tau_i) = \emptyset$
α	is a term	$FV(\alpha) = \{\alpha\}$
$\sigma \rightarrow \tau$	is a term if σ, τ are	$FV(\sigma \rightarrow \tau) = FV(\sigma) \cup FV(\tau)$
$(\alpha_i : \sigma_i)$	is a term if σ_i are	$FV((\alpha_1 : \sigma_1)) = \bigcup_i FV(\sigma_i)$
$[(\alpha_i : \sigma_i)]$	is a term if σ_i are	$FV([(\alpha_1 : \sigma_1)]) = \bigcup_i FV(\sigma_i)$
$\forall \alpha . \sigma$	is a term if σ is	$FV(\forall \alpha . \sigma) = FV(\sigma) \setminus \{\alpha\}$
$\exists \alpha . \sigma$	is a term if σ is	$FV(\exists \alpha . \sigma) = FV(\sigma) \setminus \{\alpha\}$

σ is a closed term iff $FV(\sigma) = \emptyset$

$\sigma_{\{ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \}}$ is a term σ such that $FV(\sigma) \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$

Type Assignment

$v : ?_c$ iff $v = \perp_V$ or $v \in B_c$

$v : \mu \rightarrow \nu$ iff $v = \perp_V$ or $v \in (V \rightarrow V)$ and $\forall u. u : \mu \Rightarrow (v \upharpoonright V \rightarrow V)u : \nu$

$v : (\alpha_i : \mu_i)$ iff $v = \perp_V$ or $v \in (L \rightarrow V)$ and $\forall c. (v \upharpoonright L \rightarrow V)\alpha_i : \mu_i$

$v : [[\alpha_i : \mu_i]]$ iff $v = \perp_V$ or $v \in (L \times V)$ and $\exists c. (v \upharpoonright L \times V) = \langle \alpha_i, u \rangle$ with $u : \mu_i$

$v : \forall \alpha. \sigma[\alpha]$ iff $\forall u. v : \sigma[\mu/\alpha]$

$v : \exists \alpha. \sigma[\alpha]$ iff $\exists u. v : \sigma[\mu/\alpha]$

Semantics of Closed Type Expressions

$$\underline{\text{Def}} \quad \mathcal{D}[\mu] = \{v \in V \mid v : \mu\}$$

$$\mathcal{D}[?_i] = B_i \text{ in } V$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\mu \rightarrow \nu] = \{f \in V \rightarrow V \mid f(\mathcal{D}[\mu]) \subseteq \mathcal{D}[\nu]\} \text{ in } V$$

$$\mathcal{D}[(a_i : \mu_i)] = \{r \in L \rightarrow V \mid \forall i. \ r(a_i) \in \mathcal{D}[\mu_i]\} \text{ in } V$$

$$\mathcal{D}[[a_i : \mu_i]] = \{c \in L \times V \mid \exists i. \ c = \langle a_i, u \rangle \text{ and } u \in \mathcal{D}[\mu_i]\} \text{ in } V$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\forall \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}] = \bigcap_{\mu} \mathcal{D}[\sigma\{\mu/\alpha\}]$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\exists \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}] = \bigcup_{\mu} \mathcal{D}[\sigma\{\mu/\alpha\}]$$

Quantifier Laws

$$\mathcal{D}[\forall \alpha. \mu \rightarrow \sigma\{\alpha\}] = \mathcal{D}[\mu \rightarrow \forall \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}]$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\exists \alpha. \mu \rightarrow \sigma\{\alpha\}] = \mathcal{D}[\mu \rightarrow \exists \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}]$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\forall \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\} \rightarrow \mu] = \mathcal{D}[(\exists \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}) \rightarrow \mu]$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\exists \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\} \rightarrow \mu] \leq \mathcal{D}[(\forall \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}) \rightarrow \mu]$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\forall \alpha. (a : \sigma\{\alpha\}; a_i : \mu_i)] = \mathcal{D}[(a : \forall \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}); a_i : \mu_i]$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\exists \alpha. (a : \sigma\{\alpha\}; a_i : \mu_i)] = \mathcal{D}[(a : \exists \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}); a_i : \mu_i]$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\forall \alpha. [\forall \alpha. (a : \sigma\{\alpha\}; a_i : \mu_i)]] = \mathcal{D}[[a : \forall \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}; a_i : \mu_i]]$$

$$\mathcal{D}[\exists \alpha. [\forall \alpha. (a : \sigma\{\alpha\}; a_i : \mu_i)]] = \mathcal{D}[[a : \exists \alpha. \sigma\{\alpha\}; a_i : \mu_i]]$$

Conclusions

13. Conclusions

13

- Inheritance can be treated in a denotational semantics framework.
- Specialized forms of inheritance admit static typechecking.
- Polymorphism has not been treated (future work), but inheritance and polymorphism are semantically compatible (both being interpreted as domain intersections).