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@SubSection{Lists}
If e1,...,en all have type ty then the ML expression '[e1;;;.;en]'
has type 'ty list'; The standard functions on lists are 'hd' (head),
'tl' (tail), 'nul1' (which tests whether a list is empty - i.e.
is equal to '[]' (nil)), and the infixed operators '::' (cons)
and '!@' (append, or concatenation).
@verbatim{
- let m= [1;2;(2+1);4];
> m = [1;2;3;4]: int 1inst
- hd m, t1 m;
    (1,[2;3;4]) : int # (int list)
- nul1 m, nul1 [];
    (false,true) : bool # bool
- 0::m;
    [0;1;2;3;4] : int 1ist
- [1;2] !@ [3;4;5;6];
    [1;2;3;4;5;6]: int list
- [1;true;2];
Type Clash in: [1;true;2]
Looking for: int
I have found: bool
}
Al1 the members of a list must have the same type (although
this type could be a sum, or disjoint union, type - see 2.4).
@SubSection{Tokens}
A sequence of characters in token quotes (') is a token.
@verbatim{
    - `this is a token`;': tok
    - "this is a token list";
    "this is a token list"': tok list
    - it = ("this is a" !@ [`token`;`list`]);
        true : bool
}
The expression "tok1 tok2 ... tokn" is an alternative syntax
for [`tok1`; `tok2`; ... ;`tokn`].
@SubSection{Polymorphism}
The list processing functions 'hd', 'tl' etc can be used on all
types of 1ists.
@verbatim{
    - hd [1;2;3];
        1: int
- hd [true;false;true];
```

```
    true : bool
    - hd "this is a token list";
    this` : tok
}
Thus 'hd' has more than one type,
for example above it is used with types '(int list) -> int',
'(bool list) -> bool' and '(tok list) -> tok'.
In fact if ty is @Italic{any} type then 'hd' has the type '(ty list) -> ty'.
Functions, like 'hd', with
many types are called @Italic{polymorphic},
and ML uses
type variables '@*{}a', '@*{}b', '@*{}1', '@*{}2', '@*{}', '@*{}@*{}',
@*{}@*{}@*{}' etc
to represent their types.
```

@verbatim\{

- hd; (@*\{\}a 1ist) -> @*\{\}a
- let rec map f $1=$
$=$ if nul1 1 then []
$=\quad$ else f(hd 1)::map $f(t 11)$;
$>\operatorname{map}=\backslash:(@ *\{ \} a->@ *\{ \} b)->((@ *\{ \} a \operatorname{list})->(@ *\{ \} b$ list $))$
- map fact [1;2;3;4];
[1; 2; 6; 24]: int 1ist
\}
map takes a function $f$ (with argument type $@ *\}$ and result type $@ *\} b$ ),
and a list 1 (of elements of type $@ *\} a$ ), and returns the list obtained
by applying $f$ to each element of 1 (which is a list of elements
of type $@ *\} b)$. map can be used at any instance of its type:
above, both $@ *\}$ a and $@ *\}$ b were
instantiated to int; below, $@ *\}$ a is instantiated to (int list) and $@ *\} b$
to bool. Notice that the instance need not be specified;
it is determined by the typechecker.
@verbatim\{
- map nu11 [[1;2]; []; [3]; []];
[false; true; false; true] : bool 1ist
\}
@SubSection\{Lambda-expressions \}
The expression ' $\backslash x . e^{\prime}$ evaluates to a function with
formal parameter $x$ and body $e$. Thus 'let $f x=e '$ is equivalent
to 'let $f=\backslash x . e '$. Similarly 'let $f(x, y) z=e^{\prime}$ is equivalent
to 'let $f=, ~ \(x, y) . \backslash z . e^{\prime}$.
Repeated ' $\backslash$ ' $s$, as in '\$x,y).\z.e', may be abbreviated by
' \(\backslash(x, y) z . e^{\prime}$.
The character '\' is our representation of
lambda, and expressions like '\x.e' and '\\(x,y)z.e' are
called lambda-expressions.
@verbatim\{

```
- \x.x+1; _ int -> int
```

- it 3;

4 : int

- map (\x.x@*\{\}x) [1;2;3;4];
[1;4;9;16] : int 1ist
- 1et doubleup $=\operatorname{map}(\backslash x . x!@ x)$;
> doubleup = \: ((@*\{\}a list) 1ist) -> ((@*\{\}a list) 1ist)
- doubleup ["a b";"c"];
["a b a b";"c c"] : (tok list) 1ist
- doubleup [[1;2];[3;4;5]]; [[1;2;1;2];[3;4;5;3;4;5]] : (int 1ist) 1ist
\}
@SubSection\{Failure\}
Some standard functions @Italic\{fail\} at run-time on certain arguments, yielding a token (which is usually the function name) to identify the sort of failure. A failure with token "t` may also be generated explicitly by evaluating the expression 'failwith 't' (or more generally 'failwith e' where e has type tok).
@verbatim\{
- hd(t1[2]);

Failure: hd

- 1/0;

Failure: /

- $(1 / 0)+1000 ;$

Failure: /

- failwith (hd "this is a token list");

Failure: this
\}
A failure can be trapped by '?'. The value of the expression
'e1?e2' is that of e1, unless ei causes a failure, in which case it is the value of e2.
@verbatim\{

- hd(t][2]) ? 0;

0 : int

- (1/0)?1000;

1000 : int

- let half $n=$
$=\quad$ if $n=0$ then failwith `zero \(=\quad\) else let \(m=n / 2\) \(=\quad\) in if \(n=2 @ *\{ \} m\) then \(m\) else failwith odd`;
> half = \ : int -> int
\}
The function half only succeeds on non-zero even numbers; on 0 it fails with zero, and on odd numbers it fails with' odd`.
@verbatim\{

```
    - half 4;
        2 : int
    - half 0;
    Failure: zero
    - half 3;
    Failure: odd
    - half 3 ? 1000;
        1000 : int
}
Failures may be trapped selectively (on token) by '??'; if e1 fails
with token '`t`', then the value of 'e1 ??"t1 ... tn" e2' is
the value of e2 if t is one of t1,...,tn, otherwise the expression
still fails with '`t`'.
@verbatim{
    - half 0 ?? "zero plonk" 1000;
        1000 : int
    - half 1 ?? "zero plonk" 1000;
    Failure: odd
}
One may add several '??' traps to an expression, and one may add
a '?' trap at the end as a catcha11.
@verbatim{
    - half 1
    = ?? "zero" 1000
    = ??? "odd" 2000;
    - hd(t1[half(4)])
    = ?? "zero" 1000
    = ?? "odd" 2000
    = ? 3000;
    3000 : int
}
```

